

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

HUSCO AUTOMOTIVE, LLC, and  
HUSCO AUTOMOTIVE HOLDINGS,  
LLC,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:23-cv-11345

v.

Hon. Mark A. Goldsmith

STACKPOLE INTERNATIONAL  
ENGINEERED PRODUCTS, LTD.  
d/b/a STACKPOLE INTERNATIONAL  
and STACKPOLE INTERNATIONAL  
POWDER METAL, LTD. d/b/a  
STACKPOLE INTERNATIONAL,

Special Master Christopher G.  
Darrow

Defendants.

---

Cynthia J. Haffey (P57352)  
Mitchell S. Zajac (P82498)  
150 West Jefferson, Suite 100  
Detroit, MI 48226-4452  
(313) 225-7000

[haffey@butzel.com](mailto:haffey@butzel.com)  
[zajac@butzel.com](mailto:zajac@butzel.com)

Daniel G. Vivarelli, Jr. (DC No.  
482467)  
1909 K Street, N.W., Suite 860  
Washington, DC 20006-1152  
(202) 454-2800

[vivarelli@butzel.com](mailto:vivarelli@butzel.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

R. Michael Azzi (P74508)  
Matthew E. Sierawski (P83524)  
Warner Norcross + Judd LLP  
150 Ottawa Ave., NW, Suite 1500  
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503-2487  
616.752.2000

[mazzi@wnj.com](mailto:mazzi@wnj.com)  
[msierawski@wnj.com](mailto:msierawski@wnj.com)

Michael G. Brady (P57331)  
Vito A. Ciaravino (P72352)  
Warner Norcross + Judd LLP  
2715 Woodward Ave., Suite 300  
Detroit, MI 48223

313.546.6000

[mbrady@wnj.com](mailto:mbrady@wnj.com)  
[vciaravino@wnj.com](mailto:vciaravino@wnj.com)

*Attorneys for Defendants*

**STIPULATED ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

Plaintiffs Husco Automotive LLC and Husco Automotive Holdings LLC (hereafter, “Plaintiffs” or “Husco”) and Defendants Johnson Electric Motion Technology Canada, Ltd. f/k/a Stackpole International Engineered Products, Ltd d/b/a Stackpole International and Johnson Electric Canada, Ltd. f/k/a Stackpole International Powder Metal, Ltd. d/b/a Stackpole International (hereafter “Stackpole”) having stipulated to dismiss this action, including all claims and defenses, with prejudice, with each party to bear its own attorneys’ fees and costs, having stipulated that the Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to address any issues concerning the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and releases between the Parties, and having completed the terms of the preliminary settlement;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed, for all claims and defenses, with prejudice, with each party to bear its own attorneys’ fees and costs.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to address any issues concerning the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and releases between the Parties.

This is the final order and this case is closed.

Dated: October 9, 2024

/s/Mark A. Goldsmith  
Hon. Mark A. Goldsmith  
U.S. District Court Judge